# Case 1:12-cv-00203-KD-C Document 1 Filed 03/21/12 Page 1 of 13

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAG	E OF THIS FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS NORMAN L. PIERCE, JR.				DEFENDANTS THE BUREAUS, INC., and STONELEIGH RECOVERY			
				ASSOCIATES, L.L	C.		
		MOBILE		County of Residence			COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)		NOTE:		PLAINTIFF CASES ( CONDEMNATION C	ONLY) CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
					THE TRAC	T OF LAND INVOL	VED.
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name	Address and Telephone Numbe	or)		Attorneys (If Known)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Harry V. Satterwhite; Sat 1325 Dauphin Street, Mo			.C.,	Tittorneyo (ij rinown)			
**************************************							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	5,000,000,000 30,000	TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		V. c. P. c. l	*********	P	TF DEF		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
riamun	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1 01	Incorporated or Pro of Business In This	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and F	Principal Place
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	nip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A	Another State
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							
CONTRACT	TO	ORTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appe	eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With		400 State Reapportionment
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	00 Other	28 U	JSC 157	10 Antitrust
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy		430 Confinerce
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Pater		☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	1		☐ 840 Trade		Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product					■ 480 Consumer Credit
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY 🗇 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act		k Lung (923)	Exchange
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal		Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID		☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		I Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (	(405(g))	893 Environmental Matters
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage		Leave Act	l		☐ 895 Freedom of Information
	Med. Malpractice	Product Liability		O Other Labor Litigation I Empl. Ret. Inc.	l		Act  896 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Security Act	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		ovvanny rive	_	s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	Sentence	`			efendant)	Agency Decision
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	Habeas Corpus:	- 1		Treatment of the contract of t	-Third Party	☐ 950 Constitutionality of
240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 530 General			26 U	ISC 7609	State Statutes
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization Application	1		
	Employment	550 Civil Rights	□ 46	3 Habeas Corpus -			
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee			
	Other  448 Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	G 46	(Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration			
	D 440 Education	Confinement	10 40	Actions			1
V ODICIN (1)	### A D O I I						
	n "X" in One Box Only) moved from	Remanded from	4 Rein		ferred from	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened anothe (specify		Litigation	
	I 15 U.S.C. 1692	atute under which you ar	e filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless d	liversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca						
VII. REQUESTED IN		Debt Collection Pra				THEOR WEG and	ic damaged in assembling
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	וט	EMAND \$		URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  X Yes  No
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE	,	SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY (	OF RECORD			
3-21-2012	<i>-</i>	tamal/	11/1	11/1/1/			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	/	The state of the s	WAA				
RECEIPT# AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Alabama					
NORMAN L. PIERCE, JR.					
Plaintiff )					
v. )	Civil Action No. CV-12-203				
THE BUREAUS, INC., and STONELEIGH ) RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. )					
Defendant )					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION					
To: (Defendant's name and address) THE BUREAUS, INC. CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 2 NORTH JACKSON STREET MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA	F, SUITE 605				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  HARRY V. SATTERWHITE  SATTERWHITE, BUFFALOW, COMPTON & TYLER, L.L.C.  1325 DAUPHIN STREET  MOBILE, ALABAMA 36604					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
Date					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. CV-12-203

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)		
ceived by me on (date)	•		
☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	t (place)	
		on (date)	
☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
		of suitable age and discretion who residual	ies there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	he individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summo			who
designated by law to a	ccept service of process on beha		
		on (date)	; or
			; ;
☐ Other (specify):			
.,			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
	1000 ALIANOV	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Alabama				
NORMAN L. PIERCE, JR.	)			
Plaintiff	)			
v.	Civil Action No. CV-12-203			
THE BUREAUS, INC., and STONELEIGH RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.	)			
Defendant	,			
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) STONELEIGH RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. CSC LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERV INC., Registered Agent 150 SOUTH PERRY STREET MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or more whose name and address are: HARRY V. SATTERWING.	ALOW, COMPTON & TYLER, L.L.C. ET			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. CV-12-203

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (no	ume of individual and title, if any)		
ceived by me on (date)	•		
☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the individual at	t (place)	
		on (date)	
	s at the individual's residence or us		
		f suitable age and discretion who residual	des there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	he individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law to	accept service of process on behal		
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the sum	imons unexecuted because		; or
Other (specify):	Basic Alba Agrana		
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	and the state of t	S. A	
I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information	is true.	
		Server's signature	
	.,,,	Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

NORMAN L. PIERCE, JR.,	)
Plaintiffs,	
v.	) CIVIL ACTION NO. CV-12-203
THE BUREAUS, INC., and STONELEIGH RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.,	
Defendants.	) )

## COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE

#### FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

Plaintiff, Norman L. Pierce, Jr. ("Pierce"), by and through his attorneys,
Satterwhite, Buffalow, Compton & Tyler, L.L.C. alleges the following against
Defendants, The Bureaus, Inc. (The Bureaus) and Stoneleigh Recovery Associates,
L.L.C. ("Stoneleigh"):

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1337. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that the defendants transact business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

#### III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Norman L. Pierce, Jr., is a natural person residing in Mobile County, Alabama, and is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 4. Defendant, The Bureaus, Inc., is a national corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state with its principal place of business located at 1717 Central Street, Evanston, IL. The principal purpose of Defendant, The Bureaus, is the collection of debts using the mail and telephone, and Defendant, The Bureaus, regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 5. Defendant, The Bureaus, is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 6. Defendant, Stoneleigh Recovery Associates, L.L.C., is a national corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state with its principal place of business located at 810 Springer Drive, Lombard, IL. The principal purpose of Defendant Stoneleigh is the collection of debts using the mail and telephone, and Defendant Stoneleigh regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 7. Defendant Stoneleigh is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### IV. ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Defendants, The Bureaus and Stoneleigh, were acting as "debt collectors" with respect to the collection of a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff Pierce.
- 9. Plaintiff Pierce allegedly incurred a financial obligation to HSBC Card Services, Inc. that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
  - 10. Sometime thereafter the alleged debt was allegedly consigned, placed or

otherwise transferred from HSBC Card Services, Inc. to Defendant, The Bureaus, and was thereafter allegedly consigned, placed or otherwise transferred again to Defendant Stoneleigh for collection from Plaintiff Pierce.

- 11. On January 9, 2012, Defendant Stoneleigh mailed a "dunning" letter demanding payment of an alleged debt in the amount of \$5,910.04 allegedly due HSBC Card Services, Inc. and/or Defendant, The Bureaus, and/or Defendant, Stoneleigh. In said letter, Stoneleigh stated "Debt Owed To: THE CLIENT, THE BUREAUS, INC." A copy of said letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 12. Shortly thereafter, Exhibit A was received by Plaintiff Pierce at his residence in Satsuma, Alabama. This letter was Defendant Stoneleigh's initial written communication with Plaintiff Pierce. Under the FDCPA this letter is to be read as it would be interpreted by the "least sophisticated consumer".
- 13. Said dunning letter was vague and confusing in violation of the FDCPA for the following reasons: a) Said letter created the false belief in Plaintiff Pierce that the three major credit bureaus, i.e., "The Bureaus", were participating in the collection of the alleged debt, when, in fact, no credit bureau was so participating, and further confused Plaintiff Pierce about who the debt was allegedly owed to, and b) Said letter failed to include the FDCPA required verification notifications, and Defendants failed to send the FDCPA required verification notifications to Plaintiff Pierce within five days after this initial written communication.
- 14. Said letter thus violated section 1692d of the FDCPA, i.e., a debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.

- 15. Said letter thus violated section 1692e of the FDCPA, i.e., a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 16. Said letter thus violated section 1692f of the FDCPA, i.e., a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 17. Said letter thus violated section 1692j of the FDCPA, i.e., said letter was a deceptive use of a form, and created the false belief in the consumer that the three major credit bureaus, i.e., "The Bureaus", were participating in the collection of the alleged debt, when in fact a credit bureau was not so participating.
- 18. Said letter thus violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., it did not contain a statement that unless the consumer, within 30 days after receipt of the letter, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 19. Said letter thus further violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., it did not contain a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.
- 20. Said letter thus further violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., it did not contain a statement that upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
  - 21. Said initial letter thus violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., because

within five days of said initial written communication, no subsequent written communication was sent by Defendants to Plaintiff Pierce stating the above notifications.

- 22. As a result of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff suffered mental distress.
- 23. Thereafter, on January 19, 2012, Defendant Stoneleigh mailed a "dunning" letter demanding payment of a debt in the amount of \$5,919.70 allegedly due HSBC Card Services, Inc. and/or Defendant Stoneleigh. In said letter, Stoneleigh offered to settle the account on behalf of "our client" for \$4,438.50. A copy of said letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 24. Shortly thereafter, Exhibit B was received by Plaintiff Pierce at his residence in Satsuma, Alabama. Under the FDCPA this letter is to be read as it would be interpreted by the "least sophisticated consumer".
- 25. Said dunning letter was vague and confusing in violation of the FDCPA for the following reasons: a) Said letter listed a different amount allegedly owed (\$5,919.79) than the letter 10 days before (\$5,910.04), which was either incorrect or required an explanation to the debtor of the legally added charges; b) Said letter failed to state that the debt was owed to "The Bureaus", as did the first letter, indicating that either nothing was ever owed to "The Bureaus", or said language was deleted due to its misleading and deceptive content, which said omission then further confused Plaintiff Pierce about who the debt was allegedly owed to; c) Said letter offers to settle the account on behalf of "our client" but does not identify "the client" as "The Bureaus, Inc." as Stoneleigh did in its January 10, 2012 letter; and d) Said letter also failed to include the FDCPA required verification notifications and was not sent within five days after the initial written communication.

- 26. Said letter thus violated section 1692d of the FDCPA, i.e., a debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 27. Said letter thus violated section 1692e of the FDCPA, i.e., a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 28. Said letter thus violated section 1692f of the FDCPA, i.e., a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 29. Said letter thus violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., it did not contain a statement that unless the consumer, within 30 days after receipt of the letter, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 30. Said letter thus further violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., it did not contain a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.
- 31. Said letter thus further violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., it did not contain a statement that upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 32. Said initial letter thus violated section 1692g of the FDCPA, i.e., because within five days of said initial written communication, no subsequent written communication

was sent by Defendants to Plaintiff Pierce stating the above notifications.

- 33. As a result of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff suffered mental distress.
- 34. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff seeks actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorney's fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Pierce respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants, The Bureaus, Inc. and Stoneleigh Recovery Associates, L.L.C., for the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k); and
- D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

# PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY

# **VERIFICATION**

I, NORMAN L. PIERCE, JR., hereby certify that the facts contained in the foregoing complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

NORMAN L. PIERCE, JR.

HARRY V. SATTERWHITE Attorney for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL: SATTERWHITE, BUFFALOW, COMPTON & TYLER, L.L.C. 1325 Dauphin Street Mobile, Alabama 36604 (251) 432-8120 (251) 405-0147 facsimile

DEFENDANTS TO BE SERVED VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AS FOLLOWS:

THE BUREAUS, INC. CT CORPORATION SYSTEM, Registered Agent 2 NORTH JACKSON STREET, SUITE 605 MONTGOMERY, AL 36104

STONELEIGH RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.
CSC LAWYERS INCORPORATING SRV INC., Registered Agent
150 SOUTH PERRY STREET
MONTGOMERY, AL 36104